UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/659,854	09/10/2003	Dong Kyu Lee	2060-3-58	5037
	7590 10/20/200 DEGERMAN, KANG &	EXAMINER		
660 S. FIGUEROA STREET Suite 2300 LOS ANGELES, CA 90017			AL AUBAIDI, RASHA S	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2614	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/20/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Comments	10/659,854	LEE, DONG KYU
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	RASHA S. AL AUBAIDI	2614
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>1-16</u>	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-16 and 21 is/are pending in the app 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-16 and 21 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	vn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	epted or b) objected to by the ldrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is object.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5)  Notice of Informal F 6)  Other:	ate



Application No.

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Response to Amendment

1. This in response to amendment filed 07/03/2008. No claims have been added. No claims have been canceled. Claims 1, 9 and 21 have been amended. Claims 1-16 and 21 are still pending in this application.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-16 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Maeda (US PAT # 5,233,641) in view of Breeden et al. (US PAT # 5,202,912) and further in view of Chung et al. (Pub. No.: 2003/0002476).

Maeda teaches a method for generating a ring back tone, (see col. 4, lines 5-6), the method comprising: receiving by a first terminal a request (this reads on telephone set 10 (S20), see col. 3, lines 63-68) for a call set up generated by a second terminal (reads on base station 30, see col. 3, lines 61-67); generating a ring back tone data by the first terminal (see col. 4, lines 5-7); inserting the ring back tone data into a response (see col. 4, lines 10-16) message sent from the first terminal (telephone set 10) to the

second terminal (base station 30); and transmitting the response message (see col. 4, lines 3-23) from he first terminal (telephone set 10) to the second terminal (base station 30).

Maeda does not specifically teach that the second terminal will generate a ring back tone in response to receiving a response message.

However, Breeden teaches that a base station will generate a dial tone in response to receiving an "authorization response" (see col. 5, lines 5-9).

Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the feature of having the base station generating a tone, as taught by Breeden, into the Maeda's system in order to provide the user with conformation of receiving and acknowledging the response message sent from the first terminal. One obviously can choose the base station to generate any type of response. This is considered a design choice by the Applicant.

The combination of Maeda and Breeden does not <u>specifically</u> teach that the first terminal communicates over (VOIP) network (i.e., internet) and the second terminal communicates over PSTN.

Art Unit: 2614

However, Chung teaches a communication between two phones (first and second terminal) located in two different networks (internet and PSTN), the phones communicates via gateway as shown in Figs. 1-3.

Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to establish communication between two different terminals located in two different networks, as taught by Chung, into the combination of Maeda and Breeden in order to provide a ring back tone utilized among subscribers located in different networks. This will enhance the system's diversity and provide unlimited features to subscribers in different types of networks. Also, initiating communication using a data network (such as the Internet) is old and well-known feature in the telephony art. Thus, generating and transmitting the ring back tone between the two stations using the Internet is more economical.

Claims 8-9 and 21 are rejected for the same reasons as discussed above with respect to claim 1. The claimed feature of "decision section for deciding whether to generate a ring back tone ...etc" as recited in claim 9, is extremely obvious. These kinds of decisions are made in seamless matter that requires no intervention from a user. The claimed "signal processor" as recited in claim 9, reads on data processing circuit 13 (see col. 2, lines 43-46)

Regarding claims 2 and 10, Chung teaches the use of real-time protocol [see 0029].

Claims 3 and 12 recite "storing the ring back tone data; and reading the stored ring back tone data according to a "first-in first-out" method so as to insert the ring back tone data to the response message". Storing a ring back tone data cording to a "first in first out" is obvious, since "first-in first-out" method is old and well known in the art in the queuing system.

Regarding claims 4 and 13, Chung teaches providing appropriate voice tuning depending of the type of connection [see 0017].

Claims 5-6 and 14-15 limitation are obvious and well known in the art. One can obviously set any kind of identification parameters such as phone number, prefix, and type of the call, time or the day ...etc.

Regarding claims 7 and 16, this can reads on the user going off hook (see col. 4, lines 5-8 of Maeda). Obviously when a user goes off hook and answers the call there is no more ring back tone generated.

# Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Application/Control Number: 10/659,854 Page 6

Art Unit: 2614

#### Conclusion

4. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Rasha S AL-Aubaidi whose telephone number is (571) 272-7481. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:30 am to 5:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ahmad Matar, can be reached on (571) 272-7488.

Application/Control Number: 10/659,854 Page 7

Art Unit: 2614

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/Rasha S AL-Aubaidi/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2614